

Intraoperative Assessment of Carotid Endarterectomy using Near-Infrared Indocyanine Green Videoangiography

Todnem N, Reddy V and Rahimi SY*

Department of Neurosurgery, Medical College of Georgia. Augusta, Georgia

ARTICLE INFO

Received Date: December 04, 2018

Accepted Date: January 16, 2019

Published Date: January 22, 2019

KEYWORDSVideoangiography
Endarterectomy
Arteriography

Copyright: © 2019 Rahimi SY et al., Journal of Clinical Neurology, Neurosurgery and Spine. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation for this article: Todnem N, Reddy V and Rahimi SY. Intraoperative Assessment of Carotid Endarterectomy using Near-Infrared Indocyanine Green Videoangiography. Journal of Clinical Neurology, Neurosurgery and Spine. 2019; 2(1):116

Corresponding author:Rahimi SY,
Department of Neurosurgery, Medical
College of Georgia. Augusta, Georgia
Email id: srahimi@augusta.edu**ABSTRACT**

The benefits and safety of Carotid Endarterectomy (CEA) for the treatment of carotid artery stenosis are well established. Perioperative complications such as thrombosis, embolism, and subsequent stroke caused by technical error can potentially be prevented if detected and addressed while still in the operating room. Intraoperative duplex ultrasonography and arteriography are studies that are frequently employed to evaluate for defects following the procedure. Indocyanine Green Videoangiography (ICGVA) is used frequently by vascular neurosurgeons in other procedures such as aneurysm clipping to directly visualize the vessels and identify potential complications. Recently this technology was employed during three CEA cases for evaluation of the carotid arteries intraoperatively. Good visualization was obtained in all three cases and no post-operative complications were observed. ICGVA represents a safe, effective, and easy to perform means of detecting operative complications of CEA. This is the first report of this technique being used for carotid endarterectomy in North America.

INTRODUCTION

The indications and benefits of CEA in the treatment in carotid artery stenosis have been thoroughly evaluated and documented. In carefully selected patients, surgical intervention is superior to medical therapy for both symptomatic and asymptomatic patients in the prevention of stroke [1,2]. The operative benefit of CEA is dependent on the surgeons' ability to perform the procedure with a very low incidence of perioperative complications. Effort is made to minimize postoperative thrombosis and embolism to avoid neurologic complications such as stroke. Most perioperative neurological events following this procedure are due to thromboembolic events with a significant portion stemming from technical defects, which are largely preventable if detected early and reexplored [3-5]. The technical defects include irregularities in the suture lines - at the proximal or distal extent of the endarterectomy site, or at the site of plication [5]. There is evidence to suggest that otherwise occult technical defects can be detected with intraoperative imaging and effectively corrected to avoid postoperative stroke [6-15]. Indocyanine Green Videoangiography (ICGVA) is an intraoperative imaging technique which can be used as an alternative to intraoperative duplex and arteriography during CEA.

METHODS

Our protocol is to perform Near-Infrared (NIR) indocyanine green videoangiography before and after the excision of the carotid incision was repaired and the plaque

removal was completed. Patients undergoing a CEA received a standard dose of 25 mg indocyanine green dissolved in 5 ml of water, which is roughly equal to 0.25mg. Following injection, ICG becomes NIR fluorescent after binding to protein globulins in the blood with only a 10-20 second latency period before visualization is possible. Florescence is induced when the dye comes into contact with the NIR light within the operative field and was recorded with a NIR instrument (infrared 800 module; Carl Zeiss Surgical GmbH, Oberkochen, Germany) integrated in a surgical microscope (OPMI Pentero; Carl Zeiss Surgical GmbH, Oberkochen, Germany).

RESULTS

The ICA is well visualized and easily interpreted. Below is a picture from before and after the removal of the carotid plaque. The technique allows for real time visualization and inspection for flaps. The additional time added to the procedure is minimal. We are yet to observe any adverse effects from ICG administration. No post-operative strokes have occurred.




Figure 1: The image on the left shows the real time image following common carotid endarterectomy compared to the ICG angiography image on the right which shows appropriate filling of the vessel and no intimal tears.



Figure 2: The image on the left shows a lesion and filling defect in the internal carotid artery. The filling defect is resolved on the image on the right and there is restoration of blood flow in the internal carotid artery.

DISCUSSION

Three techniques have classically been utilized for perioperative evaluation of carotid endarterectomy; continuous wave Doppler, duplex ultrasonography, and intraoperative arteriography. Both intraoperative duplex and arteriography are found to have equivalent sensitivities for identifying major defects, but the sensitivity is believed to be higher for duplex in identifying minor defects. (87% vs 59%) [8,13,16-19]. One commonly cited advantage of arteriography is the ability to evaluate ICA distal to the surgical site, however it is unlikely there would be technical defect in this area [20]. Additionally, intraoperative arteriography carries further risk of complications including air emboli, intimal dissection, poor visualization of proximal carotid artery leading to inability to observe flap or clamp injuries in that area, and stroke [9,16,20,21].

A larger study examined the utility of intraoperative carotid Doppler studies and of 650 CEAs, 15 cases required surgical revision for technical defects discovered using intraoperative Doppler [20]. None of those 15 patients were reported to develop a post-operative stroke [20]. They reported the mean time for duplex scanning was 10 minutes with a standard deviation of 3 minutes, and that they believe duplex to be the gold standard for intraoperative evaluation [20]. However, obtaining the equipment and appropriate training to become proficient in ultrasonography is expensive and impractical for most neurosurgeons. Adoption of intraoperative ultrasonography likely would require they use an ultrasonography technologist to assist in the study.

Rockman et al. conducted a literature review that demonstrated the incidence of immediate intraoperative revision based on results from intraoperative imaging to range from 2.3-14.5% [4]. Additionally, they also report the incidence of minor defects that were detected but not revised was 20-40%.¹⁴ Some argue minor defects are a benign finding while others have demonstrated a correlation with minor defects and late restenosis [4,22]. The study concluded that routine use of intraoperative imaging studies did not appear to significantly improve perioperative outcomes with regard to ipsilateral perioperative stroke or death [4]. Thus, the value of intraoperative studies remains uncertain although the source

suggested selective use of intraoperative imaging techniques when there was a specific concern [4].

While the value remains uncertain, multiple studies have demonstrated significant correlation between technical errors in carotid repair and the development of perioperative neurological deficits [1,2,5,23]. Despite this fact, most surgeons do not routinely use any type of formal imaging study to identify defects in the arterial repair and rely solely on technique and clinical inspection [4]. ICG video angiography offers a non-invasive method that neurosurgeons are already familiar with to evaluate the repair without significantly lengthening the procedure. Additionally, this technology can provide better visualization of fluttering defects such as atheromas or intimal tears that can be hard to visualize on doppler and do not reliably alter flow velocities.

CONCLUSION

Intraoperative assessment of carotid endarterectomy using ICGVA could be used to enhance the safety and efficacy of CEA as it has with aneurysm surgery. This real-time technique for intraoperative flow assessment provides an alternative to intraoperative duplex and arteriography. ICGVA offers several advantages to intraoperative duplex and arteriography. There is no risk of traumatic dissection from contrast injection, no risk of air embolism, and no radiation exposure for either the patient or the staff. This technique is already familiar to vascular neurosurgeons who perform aneurysm surgery and it can easily be adapted to CEA. ICGVA is safe, easy to perform, interpret, and adds very little additional time to the procedure.

REFERENCES

1. Barnett HJM, Taylor DW, Haynes RB, Sackett DL, Peerless SJ, et al. (1991). Beneficial effect of carotid endarterectomy in symptomatic patients with high-grade carotid stenosis. *N Engl J Med* 325: 445-453.
2. Study ECft AA. (1995). Endarterectomy for asymptomatic carotid artery stenosis. Executive Committee for the Asymptomatic Carotid Atherosclerosis Study. *Jama* 273: 1421-1428.
3. Riles TS, Imparato AM, Jacobowitz GR, Lamparello PJ, Giangola G, et al. (1994). The cause of perioperative

- stroke after carotid endarterectomy. *J Vasc Surg* 19: 206-214; discussion 215-206.
4. Rockman CB, Halm EA. (2007). Intraoperative imaging: does it really improve perioperative outcomes of carotid endarterectomy? *Semin Vasc Surg* 20: 236-243.
 5. Rockman CB, Jacobowitz GR, Lamparello PJ, Adelman MA, Woo D, et al. (2000). Immediate reexploration for the perioperative neurologic event after carotid endarterectomy: is it worthwhile? *J Vasc Surg* 32: 1062-1070.
 6. Bandyk DF, Mills JL, Gahtan V, Esses GE. (1994). Intraoperative duplex scanning of arterial reconstructions: fate of repaired and unrepaired defects. *J Vasc Surg* 20:426-432; discussion 432-423.
 7. Dykes JR, Bergamini TM, Lipski DA, Fulton RL, Garrison RN. (1997). Intraoperative duplex scanning reduces both residual stenosis and postoperative morbidity of carotid endarterectomy. *Am Surg* 63: 50-54.
 8. Kinney EV, Seabrook GR, Kinney LY, Bandyk DF, Towne JB. (1993). The importance of intraoperative detection of residual flow abnormalities after carotid artery endarterectomy. *J Vasc Surg* 17: 912-922; discussion 922-913.
 9. Mays BW, Towne JB, Seabrook GR, Cambria RA, Jean-Claude J. (2000). Intraoperative carotid evaluation. *Arch Surg* 135: 525-528; discussion 528-529.
 10. Panneton JM, Berger MW, Lewis BD, Hallett JW Jr, Bower TC, et al. (2001). Intraoperative duplex ultrasound during carotid endarterectomy. *Vasc Surg* 35: 1-9.
 11. Sawchuk AP, Flanigan DP, Machi J, Schuler JJ, Sigel B. (1989). The fate of unrepaired minor technical defects detected by intraoperative ultrasonography during carotid endarterectomy. *J Vasc Surg* 9: 671-675; discussion 675-676.
 12. Schwartz RA, Peterson GJ, Noland KA, Hower JF Jr, Naunheim KS. (1988). Intraoperative duplex scanning after carotid artery reconstruction: a valuable tool. *J Vasc Surg* 7: 620-624.
 13. Seelig MH, Oldenburg WA, Chowla A, Atkinson EJ. (1999). Use of intraoperative duplex ultrasonography and routine patch angioplasty in patients undergoing carotid endarterectomy. *Mayo Clin Proc* 74: 870-876.
 14. Steinmetz OK, MacKenzie K, Nault P, Singher F, Dumaine J. (1998). Intraoperative duplex scanning for carotid endarterectomy. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 16: 153-158.
 15. Walker RA, Fox AD, Magee TR, Horrocks M. (1996). Intraoperative duplex scanning as a means of quality control during carotid endarterectomy. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 11: 364-367.
 16. Andersen CA, Collins GJ Jr, Rich NM. (1978). Routine operative arteriography during carotid endarterectomy: a reassessment. *Surgery*. 83: 67-71.
 17. Lingenfelter KA, Fuller BC, Sullivan TM. (1995). Intraoperative assessment of carotid endarterectomy: a comparison of techniques. *Ann Vasc Surg* 9: 235-240.
 18. Towne JB, Weiss DG, Hobson RW. (1990). First phase report of cooperative Veterans Administration asymptomatic carotid stenosis study--operative morbidity and mortality. *J Vasc Surg* 11: 252-258; discussion 258-259.
 19. Valenti D, Gaggiano A, Berardi G, Ferri M, Mazzei R, et al. (2003). Intra-operative assessment of technical defects after carotid endarterectomy: a comparison between angiography and colour duplex scan. *Cardiovasc Surg* 11: 26-29.
 20. Ascher E, Markevich N, Kallakuri S, Schutzer RW, Hingorani AP. (2004). Intraoperative carotid artery duplex scanning in a modern series of 650 consecutive primary endarterectomy procedures. *J Vasc Surg* 39: 416-420.
 21. Plecha FR, Pories WJ. (1972). Intraoperative angiography in the immediate assessment of arterial reconstruction. *Arch Surg* 105: 902-907.
 22. Baker WH, Koustas G, Burke K, Littooy FN, Greisler HP. (1994). Intraoperative duplex scanning and late carotid artery stenosis. *J Vasc Surg* 19: 829-832.
 23. DeBakey ME. (1975). Successful Carotid Endarterectomy For Cerebrovascular Insufficiency: Nineteen-Year Follow-up. *JAMA* 233: 1083-1085.